



Day/Date: Friday, November 8th, 2024
 Time: 1:30pm- 3:00pm
 Location: <https://cpp.zoom.us/j/86944542016>
 Attendees: Committee, General Public
 Type of Meeting: Regular- Public

Organizational Items:

Call to order by: Chair, Attorney General– Simone Morgan@ 1:32 pm

Quorum Check

Name	Position	Present (P) Absent (A) Tardy (T) Excused Absent (EA) Excused Tardy (ET)
1. Cade Wheeler	President	P
2. Megan Shadrick	Vice President	P
3. Anissa Patel	Senator Pro Temp	P
4. Simone Morgan	Attorney General, Chair	P
5. Moises Gonzalez	Resident Student Association Senator-at-Large (RSA)	P
6. Sherwin Weerakoon	Multicultural Club Council Senator-at-Large (MCC)	P
7. Aadi Mehta	Student Interest Senator (SIC)	EA
8. Andrew Soel	Treasurer	P
9. Ginny Templeton	ASI Executive Director	P

Amend and approve the Agenda with Items C & D flipped (**Action**)

Offered By:	PRA	Seconded by:	MCC
Approval of Action Item			
All in Favor	5	Opposed	0
		Abstained	0
Motion: PASSED			

Table Minutes for Next Meeting (**Action**)

Offered By:	PRESIDENT	Seconded by:	RSA			
Approval of Action Item						
All in Favor	5	Opposed	0	Abstained	0	Motion: PASSE D

Open Forum

--

Discussion Items

ASI Cabinet Code – Discussion on Article 2, Section 3

Ginny: separation of duties and in this situation, it wouldn't apply in terms of the treasurer. We don't want to exclude or have any more provisions that are not necessary. Move this to the treasurer position. The person as treasurer can vote on things but not as treasurer. That member can vote in cabinet just not hold that position. Revise the cabinet code and vote on it next meeting.

ASI Board of Directors Code – Discussion on Article 2, Section 1

Ginny: the point is that it's not listed for BOD so we would add that same language for the senators/all elected positions. If you have signature authority for a council then there's a conflict of interest. Possibly adding it to the candidate code so that people don't run for multiple positions. You can be on one board just not president or treasurer.

Unity Cup Committee

AG: Creating a committee for an event that happens once a year isn't suggested and having a task force is a better idea.
 VP: with ad hoc committees they're not standing so only when they're needed. We could possibly add it to the communications committee. I agree there would be a lot of work associated with creating another committee, but I would be interested in adding it to another ad hoc committee.
 Ginny: what is the purpose of this group?
 MCC: the unity cup is the competition, and the committee would help them get funding for it.
 TREASURER: putting it into a committee setting would make it more difficult and open them up for more criticism from the community. Not to mention making sure schedules align, finding locations for meetings, getting agenda and minutes up.
 PRESIDENT: we still don't understand the scope of the event/committee so having a whole committee dedicated to it would be a very lengthy and complicated process.
 AG: the whole point of the committee is to recommend stuff to the BOD so what is the purpose of this committee
 VP: we'd like to find ways that this isn't a onetime thing. I like the idea of an events committee. It depends on the chair when you meet. It would be a lot but if you have a chair, someone who is dedicated to that committee it would be fine

PRESIDENT: it should be an events committee but not something specifically for Unity Cup just so there's more power to do other things

PRO T: could we get internal relations to chair this committee

VP: the goal is to suggest bringing on a cabinet member to extend out.

Ginny: you have a whole event sector you can go to. BEAT used to be a standing committee for the board. Unity Cup could also be something that BEAT does

AG: did the BEAT committee have difficulty posting agendas, having events, etc...?

Ginny: not entirely sure. You could make this a standing item with BOD for whatever points or questions you have.

TREASURER: could we potentially have an events committee that makes suggestions to BEAT that they must do?

MCC: I like that idea; we want to see senators sitting on things. Establishing a committee seems to be hurting more than helping. The only thing is funding

Ginny: go to an entire department telling them what you want. You could also pitch this idea, or you could sit on them and report the feedback. Use the group since you're paying for them.

VP: If we do have an events committee, it would be the same things where BEAT comes to SG for those. Establish a Cabinet position or something as an event officer. The point of the Unity Cup is for an event with SG, orgs, and clubs. We don't have much say in what BEAT does.

PRO T: I like that idea. We've gotten emails from students about events BEAT has thrown so it would be good to have a committee that can hear them out.

AG: I also like that idea. If we started this next semester, how would we start?

PRO T: I like it as a standing committee because it also will further our relations with BEAT

VP: we would like to build the foundation within ASI and call the communications committee MDPR committee and this new one BEAT committee so that people are accountable.

PRO T: I agree. Could we reach out to Roger and Kim to serve as an advisor on this committee or staff, so they feel included.

PRES: Wasn't in favor of making these committees until I heard it would be done so these departments are continually communicating with us. We need to be very clear and intentional about the scope of what this committee's reasoning is outside of having good relationships with the departments. We need to show future Boards why it's important. More thought needs to be put into it.

VP: making them standing is the point to help out with each department. Also making sure the base committees get started at the same time. If this is a need for the students, then the senators need to do their job by sitting on these committees.

PRO T: Not a huge time commitment to sit on these committees, and not all senators have more than 2 committees. The more opportunities we have would be better.

VP: we could look into that to make it more fair. University committees can be for cabinet members so that there's more fairness there

PRO T: I was on 6 committees last year, so I like the idea of splitting them up. Having an exact number of how many things you're supposed to be one would make it more fair.

Communications Committee

Nathan: I see the value in getting communication from senators and members of BOD so the more contributions to the process on pr or media related things. A lot of these processes do not become public. If we had a committee we would rarely meet and discuss goals.

PRES: if we pursue this, we need to be incredibly intentional, creating more work for the sake of work is not good. Yes, getting input from the senators is crucial but it can be done outside

of the restrictions of a committee. Communicating your office hours and times to meet might be more efficient.

VP: encourage you to not take committees so seriously. You can still have conversations and meet with people without being on a committee. There's a lot of work that happens behind the scenes. If this is not written down where we keep future administrations accountable, then it won't continue.

AG: we should start with monthly meetings with the communications officer.

VP: could we change the name where facilities and communications become one? Updating the name and editing the purpose instead of creating a new committee

Ginny: purpose outlined in bylaws. But we could further define the code and build it into that.

Nathan: wouldn't recommend a committee.

Audit Committee Code

AG: Have a draft soon.

Ginny: lot involved so it takes a bit of time

VP: how would you like to move forward with the discussions?

AG: I like having an events committee or having monthly events from student government to make our mark on the map

PRES: I agree. If anything, the events committee is something I'd like to pursue.

MCC: having an events committee would be good or changing F&O to include events. Not too sure I see the purpose of having a communications committee

VP: A lot goes into communicating with the public, so I think it's a perfect opportunity to do that. We're not just limited to one committee meeting a week for this, we can continue working on it.

AG: what would be the purpose of a communications committee

VP: to ensure things get done, every single way we communicate with anyone. This could go through the committee.

AG: When you envision a communications committee how do you see it? What is the goal

VP: everything that encompasses communication.

PRES: I am hesitant to create a committee just for this. It could be good making a draft for our reddit posts or facilitating video series. I don't think having a committee would speed up the process. We would be able to get more input from the public. It would probably be better to have that as an option.

MCC: the purpose would be to get info out to students as well? Like CSSU topics too

VP: whoever is on the next board can decide what happens. Concerned the work that everyone has put into will fade away.

Ginny: There is a position that requires no coaching that oversees BEAT. We also used to have a standing committee for communications that slowly shifted to other positions. We just recently the marketing department and there are positions that will be liaisons for government.

MCC: both the committees seem to have overlapping goals so could we combine events and communications?

PRES: I like that but wouldn't want that to diminish them focusing on one aspect over another

VP: Based on the time we allot to planning all of this it needs its own committee.

PRO T: can there be subcommittees in a committee?

Ginny: yes

PRO T: we could do that.

VP: wouldn't stuff have to be moved up multiple times to be approved?

AG: agreeing on events committee

Ginny: a committee as a whole is similar to what you're talking about. You wouldn't need separate codes or advisors.

PRO T: would be flexible for the events we would want to hold. Since we have a main committee spread out within senators it would be done more efficiently and done better.

Having one committee then one subcommittee within it

VP: standing committee?

PRO T: it would be a standing committee with one within it.

VP: This needs a committee code to stay

PRO T: it would save a lot of time

VP: it wouldn't save time, but it has to get done

PRES: having sub committees would be making two committees just with extra steps. Most realistic way is to have two standing committees.

AG: next meeting discuss it more. On board for events committee hesitant about a communications committee

General (**Information**)

1. Next Meeting: Friday, November 22nd , 2024

Meeting Adjournment (**Action**)

Offered By:	MCC	Seconded by:	RSA			
Meeting adjournment pm						
All in Favor	5	Opposed	0	Abstained	0	Motion: PASSED

Adjournment by: Chair, Attorney General – Simone Morgan @2:47 pm

GUEST OF THE GALLERY

1. Amy Tjeltveit
2. Nathan Hall