



ASI Senate Meeting

Minutes

2011- 2012:16 Thursday, April 19, 2012, 3:00 –5:00 PM, BSC, 2nd Floor, England Evans

I. PRELIMINARIES

A. Call to Order

1. Chair Matthew Stafford called Senate 2011-2012:16 to order at 3:05pm

B. Quorum Check

1. Voting Members:

Matthew Stafford, Vice President
Johnathan Jianu, President
Gabe Barrios, Agriculture Senator
Evin Coukos, Business Senator
Cristina Aceves, CEIS Senator
Cristina Saca, CLASS Senator
Hae Yeon Kang, CCHM Senator
Rebecca Unitt, ENV Senator
Olaleye Olayinka, Engineering Senator –**Excused Tardy**
Pulkit Jain, Science Senator
Alicia Vajid, Senator-At-Large (MCC), Senator Pro Tempore
Alicia Martin, Senator-At-Large (EIC)
Shireen Amin, Senator-At-Large (Greek) – **Unexcused Absent**
Dylan Devlin, Senator-At Large (IHC)

2. Advisors:

Dr. Rebecca Gutierrez Keeton, Advisor – **Excused Tardy**
Cora M. Culla, Executive Director

3. Non-voting Liaisons:

Anthony Juarez, Attorney General
Brian Poirrier, Treasurer
Vacant, Academic Senate Rep.
Vacant, Staff Council Rep.
Janeth Rodriguez, Alumni Association Rep. – **Excused Absent**
Brian Crane, Bronco Athletics Association Rep. – **Excused Tardy**

C. Approval of Minutes

1. As there were no objections, the chair approved the minutes for April 5, 2012 as written

D. Pledge of Allegiance

E. Agenda Changes

1. Johnathan moved Discussion Item B.1. ASI Government & Operations to come before

ASI SENATE MEETING

April 19, 2012

Page - 2

Discussion Item A.1. Lobby Corp

2. Matt approved the agenda as amended

F. Financial Status – Given by Brian Poirrier (attachments)

1. ASI Total Revenue	\$ 5,382,582.00
2. ASI Total Expenses	\$ 3,635,662.00
3. ASI – NP & A Account Balance	\$ 99,920.00
4. ASI – Tutoring Retention Account Balance	\$ 7,864.00
5. ASI Prior Year's I Reserves	\$ 152,640.00
6. ASI Prior Year's II Reserves	\$ 109,169.00
7. ASI Prior Year's III Reserves	\$ 253,698.00

G. Introduction of Guests

- | | |
|---------------------------|-----------------------|
| 1. Ashley Simmons | 9. Aisha Razzak |
| 2. Amber Yoshioka | 10. Alex Preston |
| 3. Powell Velasco | 11. Brandon De Paul |
| 4. Maria- Lisa Flemington | 12. Melissa Robinson |
| 5. Daniela Dykes | 13. Syaizul Zulkaffly |
| 6. Christopher Osuala | 14. Mark Greenwood |
| 7. Jerome Gondek | 15. Rana Abuershaid |
| 8. Taylor Dunn | 16. Barny Peake |

H. Reports – Time Specific after Discussion items

I. Open Forum

1. There were no speakers

II. DISCUSSION ITEMS

B. ASI Annual Budget Presentations – Day 2

1. ASI Government & Operations and 2. ASI Elections
 - a. Johnathan stated that today he would be talking about the budgets for ASI Student Government, ASI BEAT and ASI Elections. He noted that Jerome Gondek, ASI Secretary of Programs and Services, would be assisting him with the presentation. He first gave an outline that covered the presentation outcomes that would be presented in the power point, which was saved in the SAN folder labeled Senate Budge Presentations.
 - b. He then explained and gave relevant details on each of the following sections:
 - i. ASI Student Government – overview
 - ii. ASI Student Government Executives
 - iii. ASI Student Government Cabinet
 - iv. ASI Lobby Corps
 - v. ASI Elections
3. ASI BEAT
 - a. Jerome Gondek, ASI Secretary of Programs & Services, stated that he would present the details in the power point presentation regarding the Bronco Events and Activities Team (BEAT) and he listed the BEAT Chairs and advisors

- i. What is BEAT
 - ii. 2011-12 TEAM Goals
 - iii. Results
 - iv. Past Program Statistics
 - v. Midnight Madness Survey
 - vi. New Creations
 - vii. Video Presentation
 - viii. Traditional Programs Changes
 - ix. Proposed Programs for Next Fall
- b. He stated that this concluded his portion of the presentation as he had to leave for class and he asked if there were any questions regarding **ASI BEAT**
- c. Anthony asked why the request was so much lower than last year
- i. Jerome stated that the request was the same as last year however they had more carryover last year and added that Johnathan would explain in more detail when he reviewed the budget numbers
- d. Cristina Aceves asked what type of collaborations they usually have with other clubs, organizations and even departments on campus
- i. Jerome stated that this year they have had more collaborations than last year, and they don't have a count yet because they were still in Spring Quarter, but especially with Midnight Madness. He added that they have also worked with the Health Center, the African-American History month, different cultural events, the Blood Drive and whatever is brought to BEAT.
- e. Johnathan reviewed the line item details for the following groups
- i. ASI Government & Operations - Budget request for 2012-13 \$170,400
 - ii. ASI Elections - Budget request for 2012-13 \$ 6,782
 - iii. ASI BEAT - Budget request for 2012-13 \$ 88,500
- f. Johnathan concluded his presentation by giving an explanation and details of charts of the following areas
- i. Prior Year's Budget - BEAT Line Chart
 - ii. 2011-12 Allocations by Department - Pie Chart
 - iii. 2007 – 2012 Allocations by Department - Historical Data Line Chart
 - iv. Prior Year's Budget – Elections, Student Govt. & BEAT - Line Chart
- g. Matt began a question and answer session in order of the budgets, starting with **ASI Student Government & Operations**
- h. Alicia Vajid asked if the second phone line would be cut in the senate office as she recalled a conversation regarding that last Fall Quarter
- i. Johnathan confirmed with Matt that it went from three to two lines and explained the history that it was discovered last year that there were some "ghost" extensions that allowed a call to ring to two phones at the same time in the senate office and it was decided that it was a feature they could do without. He thought that two lines had been cut in the senate office, to bring it down to one phone, so he noted that it should be changed for next year.
- i. Evin asked where the attachment was for line item 5, Scholarships Expense, that was noted in the line item justifications and asked to see a breakdown of

it for reference

- i. Brian stated that he can get that for everyone and to verify right now he added that it was a flat, inflationary adjustment with no other changes made to the scholarships, just inflation as required by the stipulations and guidelines
- j. Cristina Aceves asked for clarification on what kind of money the college manuals would need under the miscellaneous line item – printing, etc.
 - i. Johnathan stated that it would be printing and marketing costs as they did plan on printing eight copies of the manual, one for each college, and eight copies for the office downstairs for a total of sixteen copies. He added that it also included any marketing materials to promote the use of the manuals along with services from GAS.
- k. Pulkit asked if line item 12, Lobby Corps, for \$500 and the request on the senate agenda for today for \$4,300 were two different things
 - i. Johnathan answered yes, line item 12 would be used for efforts such as voter registration for the upcoming Fall Quarter so that we could be very involved in the November election. The budget request on the agenda was for the California Higher Education Student Summit (CHESS) that they would be attending this weekend.
- l. Gabe asked for clarification on why line items 6 and 7 for Secretaries and Senators Expense went up about \$300 each
 - i. Brian stated that on the current budget those items had actually been decreased in a reline, so he had wanted to restore them to their standard levels if possible, otherwise they were running in to situations where they would have to move money around to cover them
 - ii. Matt confirmed that last year the allocation was actually \$1,000 and they had relined throughout the year and had taken money away
 - iii. Johnathan added that the budget in the left column was the most recent reline as of the date that the budget was turned in and there were one or two relines after that date if anyone would like to see them
- m. Evin asked what the \$500 increase to line 17, Office Supplies, represented
 - i. Johnathan stated that it was the cost of supplies like paper, pens, etc. going up
- n. Dylan asked why there was a \$500 increase in marketing for next year
 - i. Johnathan stated that there had been a bigger need in marketing for this year such as the cabinet requesting funds to host events, have handouts for different things and business cards. He added that there were many times that he had to say the funds were not available and when Brian needed more business cards, the funds were also not there.
- o. Cristina Aceves asked why line item 8, ASI Retreat/Training, had increased
 - i. Johnathan stated that bringing in Susan Leahy for the Budget Retreat costs money and that realistically the \$3,300 that they had to start off the year was already applied to just the summer retreat due to the

- cost of Kellogg West for the day. He added that they increased it to allow for bringing in a special presenter.
- ii. Brian added that due to having to have the retreats on campus, they have very little flexibility over the costs
 - iii. Anthony added that this year it was fortunate that both the President and Vice President were two – three year veterans who were able to contribute to the efficiency of the operation, while the candidates for next year do not have the same background so training was important
- p. Matt noted that Lobby Corp was separated from the Secretary expense and asked if we were considering doing the same thing for the Sustainability Board that had been established
- i. Johnathan stated that he firmly believed that the Lobby Corp should be its own annually budgeted group similar to BEAT, especially due to the CSSA travel that it deals with, it should be pulled away from the Student Government budget. He added that the Sustainability Board was still in the early stages and needed to see more growth towards being an independent board before they had their own funding source, however they will be able to utilize the Secretary's expense.
 - ii. Brian added that we should also evaluate how much the BSC budget would be involved because there had been a lot of history with sustainability and the BSC and so the choice of BSC or ASI budget would have to be taken into consideration when funding them
 - iii. Johnathan added that he would have separated Lobby Corp for the annual budget process this year but he did not have the time to do so
- q. Cristina Aceves asked how other campuses fund Lobby Corps, or if they do fund them
- i. Johnathan stated that other campuses do fund their Lobby Corps and how depends on the campus. He noted that Fullerton gives theirs around \$30,000 a year and it is a separate entity, similar to BEAT. It is such a high priority for them that they do also use a lot of their marketing resources from their ASI Student Government operations fund to help support their Lobby Corps per his colleagues there.
- r. Pulkit asked if the \$1,500 increase on line item 5, Scholarships Expense, was due to the assistant positions this year
- i. Johnathan stated that last year, during budget season, he reduced the scholarship amount for both the Attorney General and the Treasurer to allocate for the assistants. He added that the increase to the budget for this line item was due to the CPI increase.
- s. Matt stated that questions would now be asked regarding the **Elections Committee**
- t. Hae Yeon asked if staking and marketing, as mentioned earlier, wouldn't also be categorized under Advertising/Marketing and so what would line item 10, Miscellaneous, be used to fund
- i. Johnathan stated that there were increased costs in orientation, copying and marketing expenses, but realistically that line item should be split up and moved to other categories, but it becomes a catch-all

pot that prevents having to do a reline during the year. He noted that he may not have discussed the projections for next year in as great a detail as he could have with Amanda and he does feel that this budget may be undercut, and they may need to allocate a little bit more, particularly because there will be a special referendum in the middle of next year.

- u. Alicia Vajid asked if there was a way to add to this budget in case something were to go wrong with the online process and they were to need poll sitters, as a backup
 - i. Johnathan stated that the planning process for scheduling poll sitters and having ballots printed was about two months and so the Elections Chair would have plenty of time to request funds from NP & A
- v. Cora asked for confirmation of the fee that would be paid to I & IT to support us with the online election process and if it was an annual fee
 - i. Johnathan stated that it was \$3,000 per election
- w. Cora asked if there was a special election next year, would I & IT charge us extra for it
 - i. Johnathan stated that he would like to hope that we could negotiate but at this time he believed that it was \$3,000 per election
- x. Cora asked if SAITS would still be providing equipment support for online elections
 - i. Johnathan stated that line item was left there in case they wanted to rent equipment from SAITS for the possibility of an electronic voting booth for instance in University Park
- y. Pullkit asked why there was an increase in line item 6, Advertising and Marketing, because he did not see much advertising this year
 - i. Johnathan stated that part of that was due to the possibility that we will have a special election next year and there would be advertising costs associated with that which would come out of this budget
 - ii. Anthony was asked to add his feedback from attending an InterCouncil meeting earlier that day. He stated that the elections information was not staked early enough and so he emailed Amanda and Susan some notes to pass on to the future Elections Chair and Attorney General to have stakes up much earlier next year.
 - iii. Johnathan added that this would also cover the rising costs to place ads in the Poly Post
- z. Dylan asked why there was nothing allocated to line item 12, Orientation/ Debates, for this year but there was \$500 for next year and was that for a special election
 - i. Johnathan stated that any money needed for that would probably come out of the line item for Miscellaneous and for this year that would probably just include technology rental. He noted that as they were eliminating line item 9, Orientation Food, some of that money had moved down to this line item for debates as Amanda had already organized debates for this year and that was not always achieved.
- aa. Johnathan concluded by pointing out that the ASI Elections Chair does not

receive a scholarship from Student Government, and it was obviously not a line item in the Elections budget, so when the Treasurer's recommendation was presented they might try to include that in some way

- bb. Matt stated that questions would now be asked regarding ASI BEAT
- cc. Cristina Saca asked how BEAT could ensure that their programs were cost effective and efficient and were there measurements for what determines success
 - i. Barny explained that it depended on who was asked when it came to measuring success and gave the example of Midnight Madness, one of the most popular events that BEAT puts on. Out of the hundreds of students that attend, they will report that it was a success, however if you were to ask someone who does not attend, they might disagree. He added that additional criteria to measure success was that the building was busy and they were fulfilling the directive and mission Jerome had presented to provide opportunities for students to be engaged and active on campus with a broad range of interests being served. He also noted that they use the assessment tool of surveys and this group of BEAT Chairs had been reaching out to multiple partners across the campus to put on new events like the Murder Mystery with the Collins College. He concluded that the feedback from the senate also helps them gauge how they were doing.
- dd. Cristina Saca clarified that she was asking how does BEAT ensure that the money was spent wisely
 - i. Aisha, ASI BEAT Chair, stated that they were always looking for the best possible deal for the money and always use negotiation when working with the prices. Even when something was expensive, they always make sure to bring the price down and make sure it is worth it to the students who will participate in the event.
- ee. Evin asked why BEAT hasn't requested more money for line item 8, Campus Programming, as he noticed that they asked for \$85,000 last year and only \$76,000 this year
 - i. Barny stated that they were very budget minded for the entire organization and programming was not a fixed cost so they requested what they were funded last year because if they asked for more money they knew they would be taking it from one of the other departments that were asking for funds. So they kept the status quo and requested what they were given last year, assuming the other departments would be doing the same, and because they don't have the rollover they have less funding to work with this year.
- ff. Hae asked how they planned on marketing to the students that do not attend their events
 - i. Aisha stated that she did the event Midnight Madness this year and a major change to attracting students was the use of a theme for the event and this went along with the idea of "thinking bigger" or having the big attraction that attracts people to the event and she gave the ice rink as an example and the idea of a Ferris wheel for the spring.

She added that they have also implemented spring calendars for this quarter that list all of the events to keep students more informed.

gg. Cristina Saca asked what the impact to BEAT has been due to the reduction in the number of BEAT Chairs from 12 to 8

i. Daniela Dykes, Assistant Secretary of Programs & Services, stated that she has been on BEAT the last two years and there have been more programs planned by less chairs this year due to the greater sense of community and solidarity within the group. She added that they have used themes and new avenues of attracting students and so they have not had a negative impact at all, but rather a successful one as a team.

ii. Johnathan added that as Jerome stated earlier in the presentation, the number of programs had increased with a high of 30 in the Winter Quarter which compared with 2009-10 was an increase of about 1,100 in attendance numbers. He added that Jerome set a goal for this year of marketing the events they do on a larger scale and it appears that he was very successful in accomplishing that goal.

hh. Cristina Aceves asked how BEAT promotes diversity without the Diversity Chair that was designated in the past

i. Daniela stated that the whole focus was outreach to the whole, entire community so when they do collaborate with people it was about how they can incorporate the whole campus. For example, the last Midnight Madness had more co-sponsorships than ever before.

ii. Johnathan added that a new program that was done this year and reached out to the campus community was Drag Bingo which took a huge step in planning diversity programming. He noted that the reason there was not a Diversity Chair today is that in discussions with both Barny and Jerome over the summer, they wanted the BEAT Chairs to have a broad experience and more flexibility in the programs they worked on. The reason there was a specific Music Chair is because you have to have specialized experience to program those events.

iii. Maria-Lisa Flemington added that it was important when new BEAT Chairs were appointed that a diverse group of students were selected and then their input would add to the diverse aspect

ii. Brian asked about line item 5, Professional Development, which was primarily for retreats, but had there been any focus on conferences

i. Barny stated that the National Association of Campus Activities is the student activities conference which two years ago was held in Ontario and a group attended, but other years it was held out of state and due to the travel restrictions they cannot send people out of state

jj. Matt asked if there were any further questions for ASI BEAT and seeing none, he thanked everyone for their contributions

A. Recommendation from the Finance Committee (attachment)

1. Lobby Corp – ASI Student Government \$4,300 NP & A

a. Brian explained that the original amount requested, \$4,300, was the amount

- that the Finance Committee was recommending to the senate. He clarified that as an annually budgeted group this would be a mid-year augmentation.
- b. Johnathan gave a power point presentation and explained the importance of attending the California Higher Education Student Summit (CHESS) as the only statewide conference planned specifically for CSU students
 - c. He noted that 12 students would be attending and amongst them there would be four student leaders: Phil, Brian, Alicia Martin and himself
 - d. He reviewed the agenda as Saturday and Sunday would include higher education info sessions, choices for weekend workshops and on Monday they had 16 lobby visits scheduled and they would split into three teams to visit the different legislators to develop ongoing relationships and share the concerns of Cal Poly students in general. He added that President Ortiz and Doug Glaeser would be joining them for some of their lobby visits and Doug would also be providing additional training on lobbying on Sunday.
 - e. He reviewed the budget request which included registration, transportation, lodging and incidentals as well as the benefit to the campus
 - f. A question and answer session ensued
 - g. It was determined that because this was in Brian's last report, this could move to Action
 - h. Alicia Vajid made a motion to move Discussion Item A. to Action Item A. and to approve the requested amount of \$4,300 from NP & A to ASI Student Government – Lobby Corp, seconded by Dylan
 - i. Matt called the vote: 10/0/2, motion passed
- B. ASI Annual Budget Presentation – Day 2 (Continued – Time Specific at 4:15pm)
4. ASI Tutoring Program
 - a. Brian explained that the Learning Resource Center (LRC) receives a mandated allocation through a senate bill called the Student Opportunities Initiative and their rate is fixed. Therefore, technically they are not an annually budgeted group and when the master budget is reviewed they will be listed under mandatory allocations. He noted that through the Financial Guidelines and Stipulations they are required to give an annual presentation.
 - b. Melissa Robinson, ASI Program Coordinator, stated that she and Deandre King, Chemistry and Biology Tutor, would be presenting the ASI Tutoring budget plan for next year. She noted that Mark Greenwood, LRC IT Coordinator, would be joining them to present the budget and Dr. Allison Douglas-Chicoye, Director of Academic Support & Learning Services would join them for the question and answer session.
 - c. Melissa and Deandre reviewed the following areas:
 - i. Overview of ASI Tutoring
 - ii. Program Information
 - iii. Why is ASI Tutoring Unique?
 - iv. Tutoring Techniques – Amber Soares, Business Tutor
 - v. CRLA Certification
 - vi. Tutor Skills Development Workshops
 - vii. Tutor Instructional Videos

- viii. ASI Tutors
- ix. Distinct Courses Tutored 2010-12
- x. ASI Tutees
- xi. Tutee Testimony – Briana Ho, Chemistry Tutee
- xii. Marketing 2011-12
- xiii. On-Line Enhancements
- xiv. Tutors: Manage their Schedules
- xv. Tutees: On-Line Registration & Scheduling
- xvi. New Swipe Check-ins
- xvii. Web-Based Tutor Evaluations
- xviii. ASI Tutee 2010-2011 Survey Results
- d. Mark reviewed the following budget areas that included the budget allocation for 2012-13 of \$80,143
 - i. Budget Information
 - ii. Budget Request Line Items
 - iii. Expense Comparison
 - iv. Tutoring Demand Indicators
 - v. Spending History – 12 Years
- e. Melissa concluded their presentation and Matt opened the floor for questions
- f. Discussion ensued regarding the efforts to locate tutors, the outreach done by the entire center, good sources for tutors, all ASI funds go directly to tutoring and not to administrative salaries or overhead, the number of training hours, they manage the annual allocation by splitting it up between the four quarters and rolling it over each quarter which the computer manages for them by the number of appointments allowed per tutee, they were looking for a new Director with grant writing background and that their clerical help was in the work study program
- g. Cora clarified that the funding was for the ASI Tutoring program, so that the senators were not confused that ASI was funding a University department
- h. Brian added that the allocation being discussed was for the ASI Tutoring program and that the LRC offered multiple programs and funded their administrative staff but the ASI funding goes to direct tutoring to the students
- i. Dr. Allison Douglas-Chicoye noted that they may not be contributing financially to the ASI tutoring but they were picking up all of the support for the ASI side through the university, and Mark added that also included the server and the computers

I. PRELIMINARIES - Reopened by Matt

H. Reports

- 1. ASI Executive Director – Cora M. Culla *(attachment)
 - a. Recreation Center Progress Report
 - b. Personnel Updates
 - c. 2012-13 BSC Budget
 - d. Calendar Items
 - e. She added that she wanted to thank the senators that gave her feedback that they would also like an individual presentation recap by budget group after

her presentation last week. She noted that the approach she chose to take of providing them with the big picture was the choice that all of the groups she represented in the presentation had preferred. She added that she had distributed power points, which if the groups had presented individually, they would have shown to the senate and let everyone know that if they had any other detailed questions to please address them to her or the representatives of the departments.

2. ASI Advisor – Dr. Rebecca Gutierrez Keeton – no report
3. Academic Senate Report – vacant
4. Staff Council Rep. – vacant
5. Alumni Rep. – Janeth Rodriguiz – no report
6. Athletics Rep. – Brian Crane *(attachment)
 - a. Applebee’s Benefit Night
 - b. “Toss For Wishes” Gatorade Pong Tournament
 - c. Team Updates
7. Senator Pro Tempore – Alicia Vajid
 - a. She stated that the F & O Committee meeting was tomorrow and everyone was welcome to attend
8. Attorney General – Anthony Juarez – no report
9. Treasurer – Brian Poirrier *(attachment)
 - a. Budget Season
 - b. Finance Committee
 - c. The Students’ ASI Bill
 - d. Administrative Fund
 - e. Instructionally Related Activities
 - f. Out of Town
 - g. California State Student Association Officer Elections
10. President – Johnathan Jianu
 - a. He stated that CHESS was starting tomorrow and he was very excited
 - b. He explained that there was a meeting earlier that day attended by Mike Biagi, Chris Chisler, Marla Franco, the Associate Director of Parking and Transportation Services, Cora and Rebecca Keeton to discuss a proposal to increase the parking permit fee by \$10 in order to keep up with just their overhead costs and their debt ratio for the parking structure. He summarized that when you have debt for a new building like the parking structure, BSC or Recreation Center, the Chancellor’s office mandates that you keep a debt ratio of 1.2 which means that for every dollar that you spend, you have a \$1.20 in savings. That number had been slowly declining for Parking & Transportation so they are looking for an adjustment. In the original proposal they were to increase every year by 3% and it did go from \$90 to \$96, but they have not kept up with that and it would have been at \$114 if they had. They are proposing an increase from \$96 to \$106. The meeting today also included the Executive Board members and the other issue that was discussed was sustainable transit on campus.
 - c. Matt added that they were proposing a new parking structure near the soccer fields and his preference was for more sustainable options for

- transportation so people would not rely on their cars as much
- d. Johnathan added that he learned that citation money goes towards paying for alternative means of transportation
 - e. Cora added that she learned that when you pay your ticket, part of that money goes to the court system and that had gone up from \$9 to \$12 so the entire revenue from tickets does not go to Parking & Transportation Services
 - f. Anthony added that they may have to pass the court costs on to the ticket recipients
 - g. Johnathan stated that the Executive Board concluded that they did show merit in what the fee was going towards, and that after additional consultation, they might draft a memo in support so that it can go to the university for final approval
 - h. Johnathan stated that President Ortiz was not comfortable sending the Students' ASI Bill to a referendum this quarter, so it was currently on hold and it had been requested that additional preparation be completed and it be resubmitted to his office for a future referendum. Johnathan noted that even though Brian, himself, Cora and her staff had worked feverishly, with the timeline that they were given, it will not be possible to have a marketing campaign ready in time for this upcoming election, so it will be postponed for an election sometime next year. The timeline for President Ortiz was very short as he received their packet on late Thursday and was out of the office a significant amount on Friday and that was the deadline to make this election. Johnathan added that he would send out an email to all Cal Poly students.

11. Vice President – Matthew Stafford

- a. He noted his comments above

12. Senate Reports

- a. Evin Coukos, Business Senator
 - i. He announced that The Intern would be held April 26th, May 3rd and May 10th at 7:00pm in front of the BSC and also tonight
- b. Alicia Martin, Senator-at-Large, SIC *(attachment)
 - i. SIC General Meeting
 - ii. Bronco Court Announced for 2012 Crowning of Mr. and Ms. CPP
- c. Olaleye Olayinka, Engineering Senator *(attachment)
 - i. Engineering Council Elections
 - ii. Advising Task Force
- d. Alicia Vajid, Senator-at-Large, MCC
 - i. She stated that she spoke on behalf of the International students and if anyone was interested to please contact her
 - ii. She added that the Hunger Banquet would be on the 26th
- e. Rebecca Unitt, ENV Senator *(attachment)
 - i. ENV Remix
 - ii. ENV Conference
 - iii. Club Elections
- f. Cristina Saca, C.L.A.S.S. Senator *(attachment)
 - i. First C.L.A.S.S. Council General meeting of the Quarter – April 24th

- ii. Upcoming Scheduled B.E.A.T. Events
- iii. Instructionally Related Activities (IRA)
- g. Dylan Devlin, Senator-at-Large, IHC
 - i. He stated that IHC was sponsoring ten people as a team for Relay For Life which was next Friday and Saturday and they have not yet chosen the cancer to support
 - ii. He added that they have picked the majority of the delegation to send to their national conference the week before finals week

III. EXECUTIVE SESSION

- A. The session was not utilized

IV. ADJOURNMENT

- A. Next Senate meeting will take place on Thursday, April 26, 2012, 3:00 – 5:00pm, England Evans room
- B. Matt called for adjournment of the meeting
- C. Senate meeting 2011-12:16 was adjourned at 5:01pm

MINUTES SUBMITTED TO:

Matt Stafford, Chair of the Senate

Date

MINUTES APPROVED AT SENATE 2011-2012: _____

Vicki Jackson, Administrative Assistant

Date

TO VIEW REPORTS LISTED (WITH AN *) OPEN SEPARATE FILE ON DOCUMENTS WEBPAGE LABELED:
ASI SENATE MEETING ATTACHMENTS – REPORTS FOR APRIL 19, 2012